ENUMERATE MSEG

Enumerate Thematic Survey results

Luxembourg, April 9th 2013

Natasha Stroeker, Panteia

09-04-2013

Outline presentation

1. Preparation and activities Thematic Survey
2. Participating countries
3. Number of invited institutions for each part of the TS
4. Actual process of the TS
5. Actual response at this moment
6. Experiences during the process
7. Problems encountered
8. Some preliminary results

1. Preparation & activities Thematic Survey

➢Four parts: Cost, Access/Use, Size and Digital Preservation
➢Digital Preservation: discussion experts January 17th 2013
➢Preparation questionnaires: Sept 2012 until March 2013
➢Announcement to NC for participation: Nov 13th 2012
➢Sending NC the selected part in TS: Dec 19th 2012
➢Asking for institutions and name of contact persons: beginning
of January 2013
➢Received all institutions: March 2013
➢Not participating countries:
  ➢ Bulgaria
  ➢ Czech
  ➢ France
  ➢ Italy
  ➢ Latvia
  ➢ Liechtenstein
  ➢ Norway
  ➢ Romania
  ➢ Malta (was prepared to join cost A but did not deliver institutions)

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Participating countries	Cyprus Denmark Netherlands	Hungary Spain Portugal Slovakia Sweden	Austria Slovenia Estonia Finland Germany* Ireland Luxembourg	Belgium UK Switserland Lithuania Greece* Poland Netherlands
Translation	No	Portugal Slovakia	No	Poland
Number of wanted institutions	15	30	70-100	70-100

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Participating countries	Cyprus (3) Denmark (5) Netherlands (7)	Hungary (5) Spain (9) Portugal (10) Slovakia (5) Sweden (7)	Austria (14) Slovenia (15) Estonia (13) Finland (13) Germany (11) Ireland (12) Luxembourg (25)	Belgium (11) UK (8) Switserland (5) Lithuania (10) Greece (42) Poland (26) Netherlands (29)
Number of wanted and invited institutions	15 15	30 36	70-100 103	70-100 131

09-04-2013

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Starting date	Febr 22nd (March 4th)	March 8th (March 15th)	March 15th (March 22nd)	April 3rd
Reminder	March 5th (March 8th)	March 19th (March 26th)	March 25th (April 2nd)	
Ask NC for reminder	March 13th	March 25th	March 25th	
Deadline	April 10th	April 10th	April 10th	April 16th (1st)
Response: -Completed -Partly	5 6	11 7	24 29	

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Response per participating country	Cyprus (3 of 3) Denmark (1 of 5) Netherlands (1 of 7)	Hungary (4 of 5) Spain (5 of 9) Portugal (3 of 10) Slovakia (0 of 5) Sweden (3 of 7)	Austria (4 of 14) Slovenia (5 of 15) Estonia (6 of 13) Finland (7 of 13) Germany (7 of 11) Ireland (3 of 12) Luxembourg (5 of 25)	Belgium (11) UK (8) Switserland (5) Lithuania (10) Greece (42) Poland (26) Netherlands (29)
Total response (completed questionnaires)	5 of 15 = 33%	15 of 36 = 42%	37 of 103 = 36%	Just started (3) (total = 131)
Partly completed	6	6	22	

6. Experience during the process

➢Preparation (both of questionnaire as in the contacts with NC's) takes longer than calculated in advance

➢Cooperation of NC is great!

➢COST A too long and complicated. Simplified for COST B

➢SIZE questionnaire to long: ad random selection of two of the three methods (combi 1 = 1 + 2; combi 2 = 2 + 3; combi 3 = 1 + 3)

➢Helpdesk works: institutes directly ask questions

➢Some institutes want their answers returned so they have the data available (so they especially gathered the information for this purpose)

7. Problems encountered

➢ Delay in the phase of preparation of the questionnaires
  ➢ Started later
➢ Delay in the phase of field research, implementation
  ➢ Started later
  ➢ Takes more time to complete questionnaire: reminders and attention of NC's needed
➢ Not always clear that personalized link should be used
➢ Some institutions mention they have just filled out a questionnaire from Enumerate a few months ago (mix-up with Core Survey)
➢ Using translations can make it complicated to fill out the online survey. Opportunity to fill out in translated word file.
➢ For SIZE a lot of word files are received. Disadvantage: no check on data, so own interpretation is needed

8. Some preliminary results (1)

COST A
➢ Too long and too complicated
➢ Data are often not registered in a way that we ask for them
➢ We ask for certain already formulated types op costs, but nobody mentions new types of costs
➢ Type of institutes: 2 archives, 1 AV, 1 museum, 1 library

ACCESS
➢ Average time spent: 20 – 50 minutes (36 minutes)
➢ One person: two days
➢ Type: 10 libraries, 3 archives, 2 museums

8. Some preliminary results (2)

SIZE

➤Institutes that have filled out are divided over three methods

➤Preference for CRS method (most simple to fill out)

➤Average time spent:

  ➤ Method 1 CRS: 19 minutes

  ➤ Method 2 Detailed sub collection: 45 minutes

  ➤ Method 3 Improves Core Survey: 36 minutes

➤Type of institutes: 15 museums, 12 libraries, 11 archives

Questions?